

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

· APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,874	02/26/2002	Tomas Diez	02-171	4966
GEORGE A. C	7590 01/03/2007 OURY		EXAM	INER
BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C.			VO, HUYEN X	
Suite 1201 900 Chapel Stre	eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New Haven, CT 06510-2802				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/082,874	DIEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huyen X. Vo	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period well-burned to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUI 6(a). In no event, however, may fill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Oc	ctober 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
, —— , —— , —— , —— , —— , —— , —— , —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	·	·				
•						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2002 is/are	•	objected to by the Examine	er.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
<u> </u>	oriority under 25 H C C					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.S.C	. 9 119(a)-(d) of (1).				
· _ ,— ,—	haya baan massiyad		•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application				
r aper 140(3)/IVIaII Date	6) Other: _	·				

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 10/2/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Tamura (JP 4327748, from IDS).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10, 15-17, 19-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tamura (JP 4327748, from IDS).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Tamura discloses a control system for a heating, ventilating or air conditioning (HVAC) system, comprising:
- a remote command receiver for receiving instructions for said HVAC system (element 2 in figure 6 and also referring to abstract); and
 - a control module, comprising:
 - (i) a speech receiving member for receiving speech commands (*microphone* 3 for receiving speech command in figure 6 and also referring to abstract); and

Art Unit: 2626

- (ii) a converter for converting said speech commands to HVAC system instructions (referring to English abstract; voice recognizer outputs various kinds of control signals); wherein said control module is communicated with said remote command receiver for conveying said HVAC system instructions from said control module to said remote command receiver (abstract, control signal is sent to the receiver 22 to control the HVAC system).
- 5. Regarding claim 15, Tamura further discloses a control module for controlling a heating, ventilating, or air conditioning (HVAC) system, comprising:

a speech receiving member for receiving speech commands (*microphone 3-in figure 6; also referring to English abstract*);

a converter for converting said speech commands to HVAC system instructions (referring to English abstract; voice recognizer outputs various kinds of control signals); and

a transmitter for transmitting said HVAC system instructions to said HVAC system (abstract, control signal is sent to the receiver 22 to control the HVAC system).

Regarding claims 2-4 and 16-17, Tamura further discloses the control system of claim 1, wherein said control module further comprises a transmitter for transmitting said HVAC system instructions to said remote command receiver (*referring to English abstract or figure 6, recognizer issues HVAC instructions, which are transmitted to remote module 1 to control the HVAC system*), wherein said transmitter is a wireless

Art Unit: 2626

transmitter, and said remote command receiver is a wireless receiver (wireless communication between module 1 and module 2 in figure 6), wherein said transmitter and said remote command receiver are communicated by wireless communication selected from the group consisting of light-based communication (wireless communication between module 1 and module 2 in figure 6).

- 7. Regarding claims 7-8 and 19-20, Tamura further discloses the system of claim 1, wherein said control module further comprises an indicator member for identifying a received speech command (abstract, to inform operator whether input speech command is recognized or not recognized), and wherein said control module further comprises a storage member for storing known speech patterns and corresponding indicators (inherent in the a speech recognizer 6 in figure 6, any speech recognizer must include predefined speech models), and wherein said control module is adapted to compare said received speech command with said known speech patterns and to output an indicator corresponding to said received speech command (inherent in the a speech recognizer 6 in figure 6, any speech recognizer must include predefined speech models for comparing with input speech command to determine a match).
- Regarding claims 10 and 22, Tamura further discloses the system according to claim 8, wherein said storage member also stores commands for generating the HVAC system instructions corresponding to said known speech patterns whereby said control module acknowledges said received speech command and transmits corresponding the

Art Unit: 2626

home appliance instructions (referring to abstract, speech recognizer issues instruction upon recognizing input speech command).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 5-6, 9, 11-14, 18, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura (JP 4327748, from IDS) in view of Bush et al. (US 6397186).
- 11. Regarding claims 5-6 and 18, Tamura fails to specifically disclose the system according to claim 2, wherein said transmitter and said remote command receiver are communicated by light-based and/or infrared communications. However, Bush et al. teach that said transmitter and said remote command receiver are communicated by light-based and/or infrared communications (*col. 8, lines 1-62*).

Since Tamura and Bush et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of Bush et al. in order

Art Unit: 2626

to provide effective communications between module 1 and module 2 to control the HVAC system.

12. Regarding claims 9 and 21, Tamura fail to specifically disclose the system of claim 8, wherein said indicator member is a speech simulator and said corresponding indicators are signals for generating speech. However, Bush et al. teach that said indicator member is a speech simulator and said corresponding indicators are signals for generating speech (col. 31; lines 54-67).

Since Tamura and Bush et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of Bush et al. in order to audibly confirm to the user if the input speech command recognized so that user would know what action to take

13. Regarding claims 11 and 23, Tamura fails to specifically disclose the system of claim 1, wherein said control module further comprises a neural network adapted to train said control module for receiving personalized speech commands, and a storage member for storing personalized speech data and corresponding HVAC system instructions. However, Bush et al. teach that the control module further comprises a neural network adapted to train said control module for receiving personalized speech commands, and a storage member for storing personalized speech data and corresponding HVAC system instructions (col. 10, line 47 to col. 11, line 7).

Art Unit: 2626

Since Tamura and Bush et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of Bush et al. in order to improve speech recognition accuracy.

14. Regarding claims 12, Tamura fails to specifically disclose the system according to claim 1, wherein said control module further comprises a base member adapted for supporting said module on a flat surface. However, Bush et al. teach that said control module further comprises a base member adapted for supporting said module on a flat surface (*figure 3*).

Since Tamura and Bush et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of Bush et al. in order to protect electronic components that make up the system.

15. Regarding claim 13, Tamura fails to specifically disclose the system according to claim 12, wherein said control module further comprises a plug member for connecting to an AC power source and an AC-DC transformer for supplying DC power to said control module. However, Bush et al. teach that said control module further comprises a plug member for connecting to an AC power source and an AC-DC transformer for supplying DC power to said control module (*col. 9, lines 13-19*).

Art Unit: 2626

Since Tamura and Bush et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of Bush et al. in order to provide power for the system.

16. Regarding claim 14, Tamura fails to specifically disclose the system according to claim 12, wherein the speech receiving member comprises a multi-directional microphone. However, Bush et al. teach that the speech receiving member comprises a multi-directional microphone (*col. 7, lines 33-52*).

Since Tamura and Bush et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of Bush et al. in order to obtain a stronger speech command signal while minimizing introduction of unwanted noise.

- 17. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura (JP 4327748, from IDS) in view of ESI Engineering Publication.
- Regarding claim 24, Tamura further discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said control module is positioned relative to said component outside of said noise zone (referring to figure 6 and/or English abstract; two modules are located far apart).

 Tamura fails to specifically disclose that the heating, ventilation or air conditioning

Art Unit: 2626

system includes an HVAC component, which generates a noise zone wherein operating noise of said component is greater than 60 dB A. However, ESI Engineering teaches an HVAC component which generates a noise zone wherein operating noise of said component is greater than 60 dB A (*Table on the first page, right column*).

Since Tamura and ESI Engineering are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of ESI Engineering in order able technician to install control module outside of the noise zone to prevent noise from corrupting input speech commands to improve speech recognition accuracy.

19. Regarding claim 25, Tamura further discloses a method for operating a system according to claim 1 to control a heating, ventilating or air conditioning (HVAC) component, comprising the steps of:

positioning said control module outside said noise zone (modules 1 and 2 in figure 6 are located far apart);

receiving a speech command at said control module (referring to English abstract);

converting said speech command to HVAC system instructions at said control module (*referring to English abstract*); and

sending said HVAC system instructions from said control module to said component (referring to English abstract).

Art Unit: 2626

Tamura fails to specifically disclose providing said heating, ventilation or air conditioning component which generates a noise zone wherein operating noise of said component is greater than 60 dB A. However, ESI Engineering teaches providing said heating, ventilation or air conditioning component which generates a noise zone wherein operating noise of said component is greater than 60 dB A (table in the first page, right column).

Since Tamura and ESI Engineering are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavors it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tamura by incorporating the teaching of ESI Engineering in order able technician to install control module outside of the noise zone to prevent noise from corrupting input speech commands to improve speech recognition accuracy.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen X. Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7631. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HXV

12/21/2006